

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/034,336

Applicant(s)
Aga et al.

Examiner
Marjorie Moran

Group Art Unit
1631



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marjorie Moran (3) _____
(2) Anne Kornau (4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 5, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

MARUTA

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner informed Ms. Kornbau that the after-final amendment filed 6/23/00 would be entered, but maintained the rejections over MARUTA as MARUTA does teach that trehalose may be added to fruit paste or spread, jams, or marmalade (col. 13, lines 22-23) or to juices (col. 13, line 46), wherein fruit pastes, spreads, jam, and jelly usually comprises "disrupted" edible plant parts. MARUTA also teaches adding trehalose to sliced pickles (col. 13, line 27). As MARUTA also teaches addition of trehalose to orange juice (albeit powdered), and as many fruits and vegetables, specifically orange juice, are known to comprise "naturally occurring" antioxidants (e.g. vitamins A and C are found in oranges, strawberries, apples, etc.), the examiner maintains that MARUTA teaches all of the limitations of the claims, and therefore makes obvious the method claimed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Marjorie Moran